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#### Abstract

The rate constant, $k_{1}$, of drug transport from an aqueous phase to an organic phase and the rate constant, $k_{2}$, of the reverse process can be described as functions of the partition coefficient, $P: \log k_{1}=\log$ $P-\log (\beta P+1)+c^{\prime}$ and $\log k_{2}=-\log (\beta P+1)+c^{\prime}$. In a homologous series, where $\log P$ is a simple function of the number of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups, $\log$ $k_{1}$ and $\log k_{2}$ also can be described as functions of the number of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups. The relationships between these equations and current physicochemical models of drug absorption are discussed.
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The partition coefficient, $P$, of a drug is an equilibrium constant defined in terms of the ratio of $k_{1}$, the rate constant of drug transport from the aqueous phase to the organic phase, and $k_{2}$, the rate constant of the reverse process, according to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} \tag{Eq.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to assess the individual rate constants, as shown by Lippold and Schneider (1-3), who determined $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ in homologous series using a three-compartment model (Table I).

## THEORETICAL

From the data of Table I, it is evident that there must be additional


Figure 1-Rate constants $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ of the partitioning of homologous quaternary alkylammonium bromides; comparison of experimental values from a three-compartment system (no salt added) (1) and values calculated from Eqs. 8 and 9 (a, b, $\beta$, and c values from Table II).
relationships between $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ beside that expressed in Eq. 1. Examination of the data indicates that $k_{2}$ is linearly related to $k_{1}$ for the homologs previously studied (1-3); i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=-\beta k_{1}+c \tag{Eq.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 3 and 4 can be derived for the dependence of either $k_{1}$ or $k_{2}$ on the partition coefficient, $P$, by substitution of Eq. 2 into Eq. 1, solving first for $k_{1}$ (Eq. 3) and then for $k_{2}$ (Eq. 4):

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=\frac{c P}{\beta P+1}  \tag{Eq.3}\\
& k_{2}=\frac{c}{\beta P+1} \tag{Eq.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If Eqs. 3 and 4 are written in the logarithmic form, then Eqs. 5 and 6 result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log k_{1}=\log P-\log (\beta P+1)+c^{\prime}  \tag{Eq.5}\\
& \log k_{2}=-\log (\beta P+1)+c^{\prime} \tag{Eq.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the term $c^{\prime}$ has been substituted for the constant, $\log c$.
Since, in homologous series, $\log P$ is a function of the number of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups, $N$ (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log P=a N+b \tag{Eq.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. 5 and 6 can be rewritten in terms of the relationship expressed in Eq. 7:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{1}=a N-\log \left(\beta^{\prime} 10^{a N}+1\right)+b^{\prime} \tag{Eq.8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2-Rate constants $\mathbf{k}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{2}$ of the partitioning of homologous quaternary alkylammonium bromides; comparison of experimental values from a three-compartment system (sodium bromide added) (2) and values calculated from Eqs. 8 and 9 ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \beta$, and c values from Table II).

Table I-Experimental $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ Values from Lippold and Schneider (1-3) for Quarternary Alkylammonium Bromides and $n$ Alkylsulfonates Using Three-Phase Model (Water-1-Octanol-Water)

| $N^{a}$ | Quaternary Alkylammonium Bromides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benzilonium $n$-Alkylsulfonates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Salt Added |  | Plus Sodium Bromide |  | Plus Sodium Butanesulfonate |  | Plus Sodium Trichloroacetate |  |  |  |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ |
| 2 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | 0.057 | 1.130 | - | - |
| 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.115 | 0.940 | - | - |
| 4 | 0.014 | 0.600 | 0.027 | 0.490 | 0.055 | 0.920 | 0.224 | 0.824 | - | - |
| 5 | 0.043 | 0.578 | 0.076 | 0.470 | 0.143 | 0.640 | 0.435 | 0.585 | - | - |
| 6 | 0.140 | 0.490 | 0.217 | 0.425 | 0.345 | 0.360 | 0.930 | 0.396 | 0.095 | 0.635 |
| 7 | 0.370 | 0.500 | 0.534 | 0.264 | 0.808 | 0.276 | 1.200 | 0.198 | - | - |
| 8 | 0.715 | 0.471 | 1.112 | 0.196 | 1.140 | 0.128 | 1.244 | 0.076 | 0.63 | 0.425 |
| 9 | 1.064 | 0.300 | 1.340 | 0.078 | - | - | 1.560 | 0.042 | - | - |
| 10 | 1.440 | 0.149 | 1.620 | 0.025 | - | - | . |  | 1.33 | 0.15 |
| 11 | 1.648 | 0.084 | 1.650 | 0.011 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.46 | 0.02 |

${ }^{a}$ Number of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups.
Table II-a, b, $\beta$, and $c$ Values $^{a}$, Calculated from $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ Values of Table I, Using Eqs. 2 and 7

| Parameter | Quaternary Alkylammonium Bromides |  |  |  | Benzilonium $n$-Alkylsulfonates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Salt Added | Plus Sodium Bromide | Plus Sodium Butanesulfonate | Plus Sodium Trichloroacetate |  |
| $k_{2}=-\beta k_{1}+c$ (Eq. 2) 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta$ | $0.296( \pm 0.07)$ | $0.286( \pm 0.04)$ | $0.612( \pm 0.56)$ | $0.688( \pm 0.16)$ | $0.430( \pm 0.15)$ |
| c | $0.598( \pm 0.06)$ | $0.480( \pm 0.04)$ | 0.770 ( $\pm 0.36)$ | $1.020( \pm 0.14)$ | $0.686( \pm 0.16)$ |
| $n$ | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| $r$ | 0.975 | 0.989 | 0.896 | 0.975 | 0.994 |
| $s$ | 0.047 | 0.032 | 0.161 | 0.100 | 0.038 |
| $F$ | 115 | 267 | 12.3 | 114 | 153 |
| $\log \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}=a N+b($ Eq. 7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a^{2}$ | $0.412( \pm 0.04)$ | $0.501( \pm 0.02)$ | $0.546( \pm 0.06)$ | $0.420( \pm 0.02)$ | $0.442( \pm 0.06)$ |
| $b$ | $-3.146( \pm 0.31)$ | $-3.265( \pm 0.15)$ | $-3.373( \pm 0.35)$ | $-2.179( \pm 0.10)$ | $-3.440( \pm 0.57)$ |
| $n$ | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| $r$ | 0.996 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| $s$ | 0.103 | 0.051 | 0.057 | 0.045 | 0.063 |
| $F$ | 672 | 4036 | 918 | 3674 | 974 |

a The $95 \%$ confidence limits are given in parentheses.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{2}=-\log \left(\beta^{\prime} 10^{a N}+1\right)+c^{\prime} \tag{Eq.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b^{\prime}$ has been substituted for the constant $b+c^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ has been substituted for $\beta \times 10^{6}$.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

If Eqs. 2 and 7 are applied to $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values of Table I, the $a, b, \beta$, and $c$ values given in Table II result. Log $k_{1}$ and $\log k_{2}$ values can be calculated from these values using Eqs. 8 and 9; a comparison of observed and calculated $\log k_{1}$ and $\log k_{2}$ values is given in Table III and Figs. 1 and 2.

Either Eqs. 3 and 4 or Eqs. 8 and 9 are generally applicable for the

Table III-Comparison of Observed and Calculated $\log k_{1}$ and $\log k_{2}$ Values ( $a, b, \beta$, and $c$ Values of Table II Were Used to Calculate $\log k_{1}$ and $\log k_{2}$ from Eqs. 8 and 9 , Respectively)

|  | Quaternary Alkylammonium Bromides |  |  |  | Benzilonium $n$-Alkyl-sulfonates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Salt Added | Plus <br> Sodium <br> Bromide | Plus Sodium Butane-sulfonate | Plus Sodium Trichloroacetate |  |
| $\log k_{1}$ values: | 0.994 | 0.999 | 0.995 | 0.993 | 0.997 |
| $\log k_{2}$ values: | 0.992 | 0.997 | 0.955 | 0.996 | 0.999 |
| $\log k_{1}$ and $\log$ $k_{2}$ values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | 16 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 8 |
| $r$ | 0.994 | 0.999 | 0.984 | 0.995 | 0.998 |
| $s^{b}$ | 0.068 | 0.040 | 0.092 | 0.060 | 0.047 |
| $F^{6}$ | 335 | 1414 | 63 | 377 | 429 |

[^0] $F$ values are only rough estimates because Eqs. 8 and 9 are nonlinear.
quantitative description of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values. Equation 3 corresponds to previous drug absorption models (5-14). However, all $\beta$ values differ significantly from one, giving strong evidence for the validity of the diffusion models ( $6-12$ ); other models $(5,13,14)$ predict $\beta=1$ for the in vitro system used by Lippold and Schneider (1-3). In all cases, the influence of molecular size effects on diffusion coefficients is negligible.

Equations 5 and 6 are special forms of the bilinear model $(15,16)$ derived recently for the quantitative description of the dependence of biological activity of drugs on their hydrophobic character.
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